INTRODUCTION

Dissimilar metals of carbon steel and stainless steel have been widely used in engineering practice over the years. Such materials have been used in railway car body, boilers, and pipelines. To date, the majority of welded structures have utilized dissimilar metals because it is more economical compared to using stainless steel alone. Dissimilar metals welds are generally more challenging than similar metals welds due to differences in physical, mechanical, and metallurgical properties of the base metals to be joined.

The resistance spot welding (RSW) is the most important joining method for joining stiffened thin plate structures, especially in automotive, railroad, and airplane structures, which contain hundreds, even thousands of spot welds. According to studies, most of the welds used in an automobile assembly are RSWs. The advantages of using spot welding are that it is a quicker joining technique, no filler material is required, and the low heat input implies less risk for altered dimensions during welding. However, a spot weld provides a localized connection, which can be a source of stress concentration, and thus fatigue crack initiation under fluctuating loading.

In spot welding, a substantial residual stress field may develop as the process necessarily involves large temperature gradients from weld metal to bulk material. Since this residual stress affects the fatigue life of a welded component, it is essential to have precise knowledge of its distribution across the weld.

Several methods are available for determining the residual stress. They include X-ray diffraction and hole drilling in conjunction with strain gauge measurements. Both methods are suitable for determining the residual stress distribution.
only for surface measurements as X-rays do not penetrate far into most metals, and only a small hole depth is usually employed with the hole drilling technique. Recently a neutron diffraction procedure has been developed which allows through-thickness residual stresses to be determined without the need for destroying the component. It does, however, require the sample to be transported to a high flux neutron source. It has become a reliable and well-used technique to determine residual strains, and hence stresses, in crystalline materials. It remains the only technique capable of determining the residual stress field deep inside a polycrystalline material non-destructively.

The critical area of spot welded joint called yield surface is around edge of nugget because fracture will initiate from this area. In the spot welded dissimilar metals and dissimilar in thickness, interface of joint is include the yield surface. The objective of this work was to investigate the residual stress at the interface of spot welded dissimilar metals between 3.0 mm carbon steel and 1.0 mm austenitic stainless steel.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Carbon steel SS400 with the thickness of 3.0 mm and 1.0 mm thick austenitic stainless steel SUS304 were joined in a lap joint by resistance spot weld (RSW). RSW's electrode had diameter of 25 mm and curved surface with a radius of 400 mm. Welding current and electrode force were 4.7 kA and 6 kN respectively. In this condition, formed nugget of RSW was 9 mm in diameter. As a comparison, spot welded similar metals 3.0 mm and 1.0 mm thick austenitic stainless steel SUS304 were made in the same condition.

Residual stress measurements were taken from the center toward the edge of the nugget with radial distances of 1 mm respectively. Because of nugget symmetry, the measurement points have been represented residual stress distribution throughout nugget. Fig. 1 showed points and the schematic of three-axis measurement. The interface was defined as a boundary area between the two joined materials as shown in Fig. 2.

Neutron diffraction measurements were performed at DN-1 which was operated by National Nuclear Energy Agency of Indonesia (BATAN). It had a standard two-axis type diffractometer with a Si (311) monochromator system that is slightly bent horizontally and focused vertically to enhance the neutron flux at the sample position. The incident neutron beam was shaped by a 1 mm-wide, 5 mm-high slit to define the measurement gauge volume. The diffracted neutron beam passed a 1 mm-wide, 10 mm-high second slit and traveled toward a neutron detector which was centered at the scattering angle for the (111) and (200) diffraction of stainless steel and (110) and (200) diffraction of carbon steel. The specimen was mounted on an XYZ table and oriented to measure strains along the hoop, radial and axial directions, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

The orientation of the principal strains was determined from the geometry. The strains ($\varepsilon_{xx}$, $\varepsilon_{yy}$, $\varepsilon_{zz}$) could be converted to the three-dimensional stress ($\sigma_{xx}$, $\sigma_{yy}$, $\sigma_{zz}$) state. Equation (1) gave the stresses in three directions using the xx direction as an example.

$$
\sigma_{xx} = \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1+2\nu)} \left[ (1-\nu)\varepsilon_{xx} + \nu(\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}) \right] \ldots(1)
$$

where $E$ is Young’s modulus, and $\nu$ is Poisson’s ratio.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The residual strains at the interface of spot welded dissimilar metals between carbon steel and austenitic stainless steel have been successfully measured with a gauge volume was defined above. Neutron beam was directed at the interface so that some gauge volume was in the stainless steel side and some other was in the carbon steel side. Carbon steel strain and stainless steel strain could be distinguished easily because they had a different scattering angle. Unlike spot welded dissimilar metals residual strain measurement, the spot welded similar metals residual strain measurement couldn’t definite which a part that diffracted neutron, because the thick and the thin stainless steel had same diffraction angle. It was assumed that a thick
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side scattered higher neutron intensity so measured strains were the thick stainless steel strains. These measured residual strains in three perpendicular directions then used to calculate the residual stresses.

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 compare the stress distributions measured at the interface of spot welded dissimilar and similar metals. The curves were created in the same scale to make clear comparison. The stress distributions at the interface of spot welded had the same pattern for all diffraction planes. However, the values of residual stress in different diffraction planes deviated by up to 100 MPa in dissimilar metals and 60 MPa in similar metals.

In the spot welded dissimilar metals, the lowest compressive stress was at the nugget center and rose gradually to the nugget edge. In all directions, the stainless steel residual stresses were more compressive than carbon steel residual stresses. The residual stresses in normal and radial direction were more compressive than the residual stress in hoop direction. In the normal and radial direction, the mean carbon steel and stainless steel compressive residual stresses were 150 MPa and 250 MPa respectively. While in the hoop direction, the mean carbon steel and stainless steel compressive residual stresses were 25 MPa and 125 MPa respectively.

The stresses at the interface of spot welded similar metals were relatively less variable from the center to the edge of the nugget, either the normal, radial and hoop direction. These stress values ranged from -35 to +70 MPa. Although they deviated by up to 5 MPa, they showed reasonable agreement with the distributions of inner residual stress measured by XRD and by numerical analysis had been done by Anastassiou as stated by Bae. This discrepancy might be attributed to the different welding conditions and measurements. The suitability of residual stress measurement results of the spot welded similar metals to measurements made by Anastassiou, showed that the results of residual stress measurements at the interface of spot welded dissimilar metals were valid.

The differences of residual stress at the interface of spot welded similar and dissimilar metals, both value and pattern, were influenced by stresses worked at the interface during spot welding. The magnitude of these stresses was determined by physical, electrical, metallurgical and geometrical properties. The geometry which two plates with different thickness were joined, would cause a aberration of heat balance. Heat balance was defined as the conditions of welding in which the fusion zone of the pieces to be joined are subjected to equal heat and pressure. There might be a greater amount of localized heating in the thick plate than in the thin plate because the thick plate had the higher electrical resistance. Consequently, the asymmetry nugget was formed which the thick plate would have the wider part of nugget (Fig. 2). Another consequence of this condition was the bending load on the thin plate caused by electrode tip pressure. Fig. 6 illustrated the stress worked during the welding process. Stress at the interface of thin plat was tensile while in interface of thick plat was compressive. These stresses exceeded the yield limit and induced plastic deformation, because the yield limit dropped at welding temperature. After electrode pressure releasing and complete cooling, residual stress generated which was resultant of the bending stress in the thin plate and compressive stress in thick plate.

Bending deformation at the interface of spot welded dissimilar metals was more obvious than bending deformation at the interface of spot welded similar metals (Fig. 2). The hardness difference was the reason. Carbon steel hardness was less than stainless steel hardness. This fact explained that the tensile stress at the interface due to bending load was greater than the compressive stress due to electrode pressure. Consequently, the compressive residual stress developed at the interface. While at the interface of spot welded similar metals, the electrode compressive stress was slightly larger than tensile stress due bending load. The low tensile residual stress would occur in this condition.

CONCLUSION

Stress at the interface of different thickness spot welded was the resultant of the tensile stress of thin plate due to bending load and compressive
stress of thick plate due to the electrode pressure. In the spot welded dissimilar metals, tensile stress was greater than compressive stress, so residual stresses occurred was compressive stress, while in the spot welded similar metals, tensile stress due to bending was relatively equal to the electrode pressure so residual stress occurred was low tensile stress.
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